

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
ALLECATION NO.	TEMO DATE	THOT MAND INVENTOR	ATTORAGET BOCKET NO.	20	
10/511,526	04/15/2005	Joo Hwa Tay	101172.55433US	4497	
23911 CROWELL & 1	7590 05/09/2007 MORING LLP	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			PRINCE,	PRINCE, FRED G	
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			th/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,526	TAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Fred Prince	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 h	March 2007.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under to the condition of t	•		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,3-14,20-29 and 31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 29 and 31 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3-14 and 20-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	• •	,	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3-14 and 20-28 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Hoffmann et al. (US Pat No 4,880,740) and Caccavo, Jr. et al. (US Pat 5,569,596). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-14, 21 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffmann et al. (US Pat No 4,880,740).

Hoffmann et al. teach a method for reducing a level of certain chemical compounds in a sample, including organic and inorganic compounds from environmental, domestic and industrial sources (col. 3, lines 18-36), said method comprising subjecting said sample to an anaerobic treatment (abstract), including sequential aerobic-anaerobic treatment (col. 8, lines 6-22; col. 9, lines 1-4) wherein a valency of one or more redox mediator species, including iron (col. 4, lines 63-68; col. 5, lines 1-12) is manipulated by microorganisms capable or iron reduction and oxidation (col. 4, lines 41-54) such that, under anaerobic conditions, the one or more redox mediator species are reduced to a

Application/Control Number: 10/511,526

Art Unit: 1724

lower order valency (abstract; col. 5, lines 17-28), and wherein formation of insoluble particles comprising the chemical compounds is facilitated (col. 3, lines 64-68; col. 4, lines 1-11).

Page 3

4. Claims 1, 4-10, 12, 14 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caccavo, Jr. et al. (US Pat No 5,569,596).

Caccavo, Jr. et al. teach a method for reducing a level of certain chemical compounds in a sample, including organic and inorganic compounds from environmental and industrial sources, including soils (col. 1, lines 11-27), said method comprising subjecting said sample to an anaerobic treatment (abstract), wherein a valency of one or more redox mediator species, including iron (col. 3, lines 40-43; claims 14-16) is manipulated by microorganisms capable or iron reduction and oxidation (col. 4, lines 47-54) such that, under anaerobic conditions, the one or more redox mediator species are reduced to a lower order valency (col. 3, lines 40-43), and wherein formation of insoluble particles comprising the chemical compounds is facilitated (claim 15).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,526

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

6. Claims 22-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hoffmann et al. or Caccavo, Jr. et al. in view of Khudenko (US Pat No 5,514,278).

The primary references are described above. Neither reference discloses alternating anaerobic and aerobic treatment and treating activated sludge.

In any case, Khudenko discloses the well known concept of alternating aerobic and anaerobic treatment in order to, for example, provide a more complete degradation of contaminants (col. 22, lines 7-20) and treating activated sludge in order to, for example, produce easily degradable products (col. 14, lines 29-44).

Accordingly, it is submitted that it would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to modify the method of either primary reference such that either employs cycled anaerobic and aerobic treatment in order to, for example, provide a more complete degradation of contaminants and treating activated sludge in order to, for example, produce easily degradable products, as suggested by Khudenko.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 29 and 31 are allowed for the reasons previously indicated by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference are cited of interest to show the state of art.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

red Prince

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724